

## **Wrightstown Township Planning Commission Meeting May 8, 2025**

The Wrightstown Township Planning Commission met on May 8, 2025 at the Wrightstown Township Meeting Room. Members in attendance were: Scott McBurney, Joseph Conroy, Dave Reed, and Ed Rushing. Also in attendance were: Vicki Kushto and Meredith Salisbury

### **1) Call to Order**

The Meeting was called to Order at 7:30PM

### **2) Public Comment**

- No public comment

### **3) Approval of the April 10, 2025 Minutes.**

- A motion was made, seconded, and voted unanimously for the approval of the minutes from the April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2025 meeting without any changes

### **4) New Business**

- **Second Street Pike Zoning Hearing Board Application:** was discussed. Present for the presentation were Attorney Ryan Gallagher, Engineer Scott Mease, and the property owner. The applicants are looking for relief to build a single family dwelling on an existing non-conforming vacant lot on Second Street Pike. The Planning Commission inquired about what variances they were requesting, if they had done a septic or stormwater perk test, proposed impervious surface, the need of a driveway easement, and future fencing. After discussing all of the above topics with the applicants the Planning Commission made a motion, seconded, and approved unanimously to remain neutral on the ZHB application but recommended that the applicants look into getting a perk test for the potential septic system, to make sure that the on lot stormwater system is sized for all possible impervious surface and that it does not discharge off the property, and that they can get an easement with the neighbors for the shared driveway access.
- **2754 Windy Bush Road Zoning Hearing Board Application:** was discussed briefly. The applicant is seeking relief from a prior Zoning Hearing Board decision. They are asking for a 6inch setback for pool decking where the original relief granted 10 feet. The applicant could not attend the meeting. The Planning Commission reviewed the application and voiced their concerns about changing an existing ZHB decision and about how close to the property line the applicant would be constructing the patio. The Planning Commission also raised the question of if the applicant had demonstrated a sufficient hardship. In the end the Planning Commission made a motion, seconded, and voted unanimously to not recommend the approval of the variance due to the lack of hardship and the Commission's unease with granting a variance of a variance.

- **Billboard Ordinance:** was discussed. Vicki Kushto explained the changes that were made to the Planning Commission. A motion was made, seconded and voted unanimously to recommend approval to the Board of Supervisors.

#### **5) Adjournment**

- A motion was made, seconded, and unanimously voted on to adjourn the meeting at 8:36 PM

**Respectfully Submitted**  
**Meredith Salisbury**